


ISGC Outcomes Working Group

Distribution of mRS scores in GISCOME


Christina Jern

mRS at 90 days (range 60-120 days)





- Good, n= 5856; Bad, n= 2910

mRS at 90 days (range 60-120 days)




Days for mRS (range 60-120 days)




- Note the left tail is due to people who died prior to 90 days
- 90 day mRS, $n = 5919$

Per site mRS 90 day distribution


Cincinnati




MGH




Newcastle




VISP



Washington-U




VIHR-2




Per site mRS 90 day distribution


Leuven




Helsinki




Oxford




Lund (0-2 =1)




Göteborg-SAHLSIS



Barcelona-val de Hebron



Barcelona-Hospital del Mar



mRS distribution

- Variation in distribution by site
- Need to understand underlying reasons for this heterogeneity
 - How large proportion of variations is explained by age and baseline NIHSS?
 - Timing?
 - Site-specific clinical practice?
- For the final analysis heterogeneity has to be taken into account
 - Site as covariate in addition to age and baseline NIHSS